

STRETCHING NEWTON POLYGONS USING PURE POLYNOMIALS

RYLAN GAJEK-LEONARD AND URI TOMER

ABSTRACT. Fix a prime p and polynomials $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$. If the Newton polygon of g consists of a single segment with negative slope, we show under some mild conditions that the Newton polygon of $f \circ g$ is identical to that of f , but stretched horizontally by a factor of $\deg g$. Specializing to the case $f = g$, this implies that all iterates of certain pure polynomials are irreducible, recovering a classical result of Odoni on the dynamical irreducibility of Eisenstein polynomials. We also prove that the Taylor polynomials of the exponential function (a well-known family of irreducible polynomials) remain irreducible upon composition with all iterates of certain pure polynomials of large enough degree.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fix a prime p and a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $f(0) \neq 0$. The p -adic Newton polygon of f encodes information about the factorization of f over \mathbb{Q}_p and the valuation of its roots over \mathbb{Q}_p . This local data can often be pieced together at several primes to make global conclusions about the irreducibility and Galois properties of f over \mathbb{Q} (see [Col87], e.g.), making the Newton polygon a useful tool for studying arithmetic properties of f .

In this article, we consider the behavior of the Newton polygon under composition. Specifically, what can be said about the Newton polygon of $f \circ g$ as g varies? Can one describe families of polynomials S that preserve, in some sense, the key features (segments and slopes) of the Newton polygon of f under composition? The case $S = \{f\}$ lies at the heart of arithmetic dynamics, whose central focus is understanding the behavior of f upon iteration. When f is p^r -pure (i.e., its Newton polygon has a single segment of slope $\frac{-r}{\deg f} < 0$) and S consists of p^r -pure polynomials, it is known (see [DS23, Theorem 3.10] and [Ali05]) that the Newton polygon of $f \circ g$ is also p^r -pure. Thus, the overall shape of a pure Newton polygon is preserved under composition by pure polynomials of the same slope.

Our main result shows that a similar phenomenon holds when the Newton polygon of f has multiple segments. Namely, as long as the slopes of the Newton polygon of f are not too steep, composition with a p^r -pure polynomial g has the interesting effect of ‘stretching’ the Newton polygon of f horizontally by a factor of $\deg g$, thereby preserving the number of segments and transforming the slopes in a predictable way.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11S05; Secondary 11R09, 11S82.

Key words and phrases. Newton polygons, stable polynomials, dynamical irreducibility.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that the p -adic Newton polygon of f consists of N segments with slopes $s_1 < \dots < s_N$. If g is p^r -pure and $|s_i| < r$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ then the Newton polygon of $f \circ g$ has N segments of slopes $\frac{s_1}{\deg g} < \dots < \frac{s_N}{\deg g}$.*




Figure 1. Newton polygons of f and $f \circ g$ as in Theorem 1.1, where $d = \deg g$ and i_j denote the x -coordinates of the vertices of $NP_p(f)$.

Remark 1.2. Note that for any fixed f , one can always choose g so that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied.

Recall the Eisenstein-Dumas criterion [Eis50, Dum06] (see [Gao01] for a modern statement), which says that if f is pure of slope $-\frac{r}{\deg f}$ and $\gcd(r, \deg f) = 1$, then f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . (When $r = 1$, this recovers the well-known Eisenstein irreducibility criterion.) Polynomials satisfying this criterion are called p^r -Dumas polynomials, or p -Eisenstein polynomials when $r = 1$. The family of p^r -Dumas polynomials arise naturally in the theory of local fields; for example, they can be used to generate ramified (totally, in the Eisenstein case) extensions. An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following corollary, which says that p^r -Dumas polynomials are *dynamically irreducible* (or *stable*), meaning that all their iterates are also irreducible. (Note that this recovers the classical result of Odoni [Odo87, Lemma 1.3] asserting the dynamical irreducibility of Eisenstein polynomials.)

Corollary 1.3. *If f is p^r -Dumas then f is dynamically irreducible.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, the Newton polygon of $f \circ f$ has a single segment of slope $-r/(\deg f)^2$, and is therefore irreducible by the Eisenstein-Dumas criterion. The result now follows from induction. \square

Remark 1.4. Corollary 1.3 was first proved in [DS23, Corollary 4.1] using different methods.

As another application, we show in Corollary 4.2 how one can use Theorem 1.1 to prove that the sequence

$$f_n(x) = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

of Taylor polynomials of the exponential function (all of which are irreducible by [Sch30, Col87]) are also irreducible upon composition with iterates of certain p^r -Dumas polynomials. More precisely, we show that if g (of large enough degree) is p^r -Dumas at all primes p dividing n , then $f_n \circ g^{om}$ is irreducible for all $m \geq 0$.

1.1. Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank John Cullinan and Jeffrey Hatley for several helpful comments.

1.2. Notation. We write $f^n = f \cdots f$ for the product of f with itself n times, and $f^{\circ n} = f \circ \cdots \circ f$ for the n th iterate of f .

2. NEWTON POLYGONS OF PRODUCTS AND SUMS

Fix a prime p and a polynomial $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$. The p -adic Newton polygon of f , denoted $\text{NP}_p(f)$, is the lower convex hull in \mathbb{R}^2 of the points $(i, \text{ord}_p a_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, where ord_p denotes the p -adic valuation. Thus, the Newton polygon is a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 bounded below by a sequence of line segments of increasing slopes and on either side by vertical lines. (The Newton polygon of a monomial ax^i is therefore a vertical ray extending from the point $(i, \text{ord}_p a)$.) By the *length* of a segment of the Newton polygon, we mean the length of the segment upon projection to the x -axis. We say that f is *pure at p* if its Newton polygon has exactly one segment. Following [Jak20, DS23], we say that f is p^r -*pure* for an integer $r \geq 1$ if f is pure, $\text{ord}_p(a_0) = r$, and $\text{ord}_p(a_n) = 0$.

Remark 2.1. Note the distinction between a polynomial that is pure at p (one segment, arbitrary slope) and $p^{r=1}$ -pure (one segment, slope $\frac{-1}{\text{degree}}$).

Example 2.2. The Newton polygon of $p + x^2 + p^3 x^6$ has vertices at $(0, 1)$, $(2, 0)$, and $(6, 3)$. It therefore has two segments of lengths 2 and 4 with slopes $-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$, respectively.

We have the following fundamental property of Newton polygons.

Theorem 2.3.

- (1) If $\text{NP}_p(f)$ consists of N segments of lengths ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_N then there exist $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ with $\deg(f_i) = \ell_i$ such that $f = \prod_{i=1}^N f_i$.
- (2) If $\text{NP}_p(f)$ has a segment of length ℓ and slope s then f has exactly ℓ roots (in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) of valuation $-s$.

Proof. See [Neu99, Proposition II.6.3]. □

An immediate consequence of this theorem is that the Newton polygon of the product of two polynomials can be obtained by concatenating the segments of their respective Newton polygons in order of increasing slope. In the case of pure polynomials, this yields the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ are pure of slopes $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_n$. Then the Newton polygon of $f = \prod_{i=1}^n f_i$ consists of n (not necessarily distinct) segments of slopes s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n .

Example 2.5. The Newton polygon of $(x^2 - p)(x^3 - p)(x^4 - p)$ consists of 3 segments of slopes $-1/2$, $-1/3$, and $-1/4$. The Newton polygon of $(x^2 - p)^2$ consists of one segment of slope $-1/2$.

Corollary 2.6. If f is p^r -pure then f^n is pure of slope $\frac{-r}{\deg f}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.4. □

We now show that the Newton polygon of a sum of polynomials is bounded below by the lower convex hull of the union of the constituent Newton polygons. In what follows, we write $\text{LCH}(X)$ for the lower convex hull of a subset X of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$. Then*

$$\mathrm{NP}_p\left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i\right) \subseteq \mathrm{LCH}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathrm{NP}_p(f_i)\right).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the case $n = 2$, the rest follows by induction. Let d be the maximum of the degrees of f_1 and f_2 write $f_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i x^i$ and $f_2 = \sum_{i=1}^d b_i x^i$. Then Lemma 2.9 below implies


$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{NP}_p(f_1 + f_2) &= \mathrm{LCH}\{(i, \mathrm{ord}_p(a_i + b_i)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq N\} \\ &\subseteq \mathrm{LCH}\{(i, \min(\mathrm{ord}_p a_i, \mathrm{ord}_p b_i)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq N\} \\ &\subseteq \mathrm{LCH}(\mathrm{NP}_p(f_1) \cup \mathrm{NP}_p(f_2)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 2.8. Let $f(x) = 3 + x^2 + 9x^3$ and $g(x) = 9 + x + 3x^3$. In this case,

$$\mathrm{NP}_3(f + g) = \mathrm{LCH}\left(\mathrm{NP}_3(f) \cup \mathrm{NP}_3(g)\right),$$

as seen in the following diagram, where the thin, dashed, and bold lines indicate $\mathrm{NP}_3(f)$, $\mathrm{NP}_3(g)$, and the lower convex hull of their union, respectively.



Lemma 2.9. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, $z_i = \min(x_i, y_i)$, and suppose $X = \{(i, x_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $Y = \{(i, y_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, and $Z = \{(i, z_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.*

- (1) *If $x_i \geq y_i$ then $\mathrm{LCH}(X) \subseteq \mathrm{LCH}(Y)$.*
- (2) *$\mathrm{LCH}(Z) \subseteq \mathrm{LCH}(X \cup Y)$.*

Proof. (1). By assumption, we have $(i, x_i) \in \mathrm{LCH}(Y)$ for all i . Convexity now implies that the line connecting any two points in X is also contained in $\mathrm{LCH}(Y)$. In particular, the edges of $\mathrm{LCH}(X)$ are contained in $\mathrm{LCH}(Y)$ and the result follows. (2). Clearly $(i, z_i) \in X \cup Y$, hence $(i, z_i) \in \mathrm{LCH}(X \cup Y)$ for all i . The result now follows from the same argument as (1). □

3. MAIN RESULT

Fix a polynomial $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ with $f(0) \neq 0$. We begin by showing that pure Newton polygons with moderate slope are preserved under composition with p^r -pure polynomials.

Proposition 3.1. *If f is pure of slope s with $|s| < r$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is p^r -pure, then $f \circ g$ is pure of slope $\frac{s}{\deg g}$.*

Remark 3.2. Note that Proposition 3.1 implies that if f and g are both p^r -pure then $f \circ g$ is also p^r -pure. This result therefore recovers [DS23, Theorem 3.10], however the proof presented here is different.

Proof. Since multiplication by nonzero constants only shifts the Newton polygon vertically, we may assume that f is monic. Write

$$f(g(x)) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{dn}x^{dn},$$

where $d = \deg g$ and $n = \deg f$. Let $m = \text{ord}_p a_0$, so that $s = \frac{m}{n}$ (possibly not in lowest terms). By assumption, the Newton polygon of f consists of a single edge of slope s connecting the two vertices $(0, m)$ and $(n, 0)$, i.e., we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{V}f) \quad & \text{ord}_p a_0 = m \text{ and } \text{ord}_p a_n = 0, \\ (\text{E}f) \quad & \text{ord}_p(a_i) \geq m - si \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

We must prove that $\text{NP}_p(f \circ g)$ consists of one edge of slope s/d connecting the two vertices $(0, m)$ and $(dn, 0)$, i.e., we need to show that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{V}f \circ g) \quad & \text{ord}_p(c_0) = m \text{ and } \text{ord}_p(c_{dn}) = 0, \\ (\text{E}f \circ g) \quad & \text{ord}_p(c_i) \geq m - \frac{s}{d}i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq dn. \end{aligned}$$

Let $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ denote the coefficients of g . To show $(\text{V}f \circ g)$, note that $(\text{E}f)$ and $|s| < r$ imply

$$\text{ord}_p \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_0^i \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\text{ord}_p a_i + ir \right) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(m + i(r - s) \right) > m,$$

therefore

$$\text{ord}_p(c_0) = \text{ord}_p \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i b_0^i \right) = \min \left(\text{ord}_p a_0, \text{ord}_p \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_0^i \right) = m.$$

It is clear that $\text{ord}_p(c_{dn}) = \text{ord}_p(a_n b_d^n) = \text{ord}_p(a_n) + n \text{ord}_p(b_d) = 0$.

It remains to prove $(\text{E}f \circ g)$, for which it suffices to show that the points $(i, \text{ord}_p c_i)$ lie on or above the line connecting $(0, m)$ and $(nd, 0)$, i.e., that

$$(i, \text{ord}_p c_i) \in \text{LCH}\{(0, m), (nd, 0)\}.$$

First, note that $(\text{E}f)$ implies $\text{ord}_p(a_i g(0)^i) = \text{ord}_p a_i + ir \geq m + i(r - s)$ so the leftmost vertex of $\text{NP}_p(a_i g^i)$ lies on or above the point $(0, m + i(r - s))$. But Corollary 2.6 implies that the Newton polygon of each $a_i g^i$ is pure of slope $-\frac{r}{d}$, hence the lower edge of $\text{NP}_p(a_i g^i)$ lies entirely on or above the line segment L_i through the points $(0, m + i(r - s))$ and $(id, m - is)$. Thus

$$(1) \quad \text{NP}_p(a_i g^i) \subseteq \text{LCH}(L_i)$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. (Note that L_0 is simply the point $(0, m)$.) It now follows from Lemma 2.7 (see also Figure 2) that

$$(i, \text{ord}_p c_i) \in \text{NP}_p(f \circ g) = \text{NP}_p \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i g^i \right) \subseteq \text{LCH} \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^n \text{LCH}(L_i) \right) = \text{LCH}\{(0, m), (nd, 0)\}.$$

□

Remark 3.3. Both the p -integrality and negative slope of p^r -pure polynomials are necessary for Proposition 3.1 to hold. For example, one can easily check that the conclusion of the proposition fails if:

- $f(x) = g(x) = x^2 + \frac{1}{p}$
- $f(x) = p + x^2$ and $g(x) = 1 + px^2$.

Note that in both these examples, g is pure but not $p^{r=1}$ -pure.




Figure 2. In bold are the lines L_i , which serve as lower bounds (in the sense of (1)) for the Newton polygons of $a_i g^i$. The dashed line is the lower convex hull of all L_i , which is exactly the Newton polygon of $f \circ g$.

Before proving our main result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. Fix distinct points $P_i = (x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $0 \leq i \leq N$. Let s_i be the slope of the line $P_{i-1}P_i$, and let s be the slope of the line P_0P_N . If $|s_i| < r$ and $x_i \geq x_{i-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ then $|s| < r$.

Proof. Since $|s_i| = |(y_i - y_{i-1})/(x_i - x_{i-1})| < r$ and $x_i - x_{i-1}$ is positive we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 - y_0 &< r(x_1 - x_0) \\ y_2 - y_1 &< r(x_2 - x_1) \\ &\vdots \\ y_N - y_{N-1} &< r(x_N - x_{N-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Adding up these inequalities yields $y_N - y_0 < r(x_N - x_0)$, hence the result. \square

We now prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that the Newton polygon of f consists of N segments with slopes $s_1 < \dots < s_N$. If g is p^r -pure and $|s_i| < r$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ then the Newton polygon of $f \circ g$ has N segments of slopes $\frac{s_1}{d} < \dots < \frac{s_N}{d}$, where $d = \deg g$.

Proof. We induct on the number of segments. The case $N = 1$ is exactly Proposition 3.1, so assume the result holds for polynomials whose Newton polygons have $N \geq 1$ segments and suppose that the Newton polygon of f has $N + 1$ segments. As in Proposition 3.1, we may assume that f is monic. Let (i_j, m_j) , $0 \leq j \leq N + 1$, denote the vertices of $\text{NP}_p(f)$. Thus, $m_0 = \text{ord}_p f(0)$, $i_{N+1} = n$, and

$$s_j = \frac{m_j - m_{j-1}}{i_j - i_{j-1}}.$$

By assumption, we know that:

- (Vf) $\text{ord}_p a_{i_j} = m_j$ for all $0 \leq j \leq N + 1$,
- (Ef) $\text{ord}_p a_i \geq m_j - s_j(i - i_j)$ for all $i_{j-1} \leq i \leq i_j$ and all $1 \leq j \leq N + 1$.

Letting $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ denote the coefficients of $f \circ g$, we must prove the following:

$$(Vf \circ g) \text{ ord}_p c_{di_j} = m_j \text{ for all } 0 \leq j \leq N+1.$$

$$(Ef \circ g) \text{ ord}_p c_i \geq m_j - \frac{s_j}{d}(i - i_j) \text{ for all } di_{j-1} \leq i \leq di_j \text{ and all } 1 \leq j \leq N+1.$$

Note that (Ef) and $(Ef \circ g)$ are, respectively, equivalent to:

$$(Ef)' (i, \text{ord}_p a_i) \in \text{LCH}\{(i_j, m_j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq N+1\} \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$(Ef \circ g)' (i, \text{ord}_p c_i) \in \text{LCH}\{(di_j, m_j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq N+1\} \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq dn.$$

Defining

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \begin{cases} \frac{a_{i_N}}{2}x^{i_N} + \sum_{i=0}^{i_N-1} a_i x^i & \text{if } p > 2 \\ \frac{a_{i_N}}{3}x^{i_N} + \sum_{i=0}^{i_N-1} a_i x^i & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases} \\ f_2(x) &= \begin{cases} \frac{a_{i_N}}{2}x^{i_N} + \sum_{i=i_N+1}^n a_i x^i & \text{if } p > 2 \\ \frac{a_{i_N}}{3}x^{i_N} + \sum_{i=i_N+1}^n a_i x^i & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases} \\ f_3(x) &= \frac{a_{i_N}}{3}x^{i_N}, \end{aligned}$$

we can write

$$f = \begin{cases} f_1 + f_2 & \text{if } p > 2, \\ f_1 + f_2 + f_3 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

By definition, we have that:

- $\text{NP}_p(f_1)$ is precisely the first N segments of the Newton polygon of f , and
- $\text{NP}_p(f_2)$ is precisely the last segment of Newton polygon of f .

By our inductive hypothesis, we know that the Newton polygon of $f_1 \circ g$ has N segments of slopes s_i/d , i.e.,

$$(2) \quad \text{NP}_p(f_1 \circ g) = \text{LCH}\{(di_0, m_0), \dots, (di_N, m_N)\}.$$

(See Figure 3.)

Define $f'_2(x) = \frac{1}{x^{i_N}} f_2(x)$ and note that the Newton polygon of f'_2 is pure of slope s_{N+1} . It follows from Proposition 3.1 that $\text{NP}_p(f'_2 \circ g)$ is pure of slope $\frac{s_{N+1}}{d}$ with vertices at $(0, m_N)$ and $(d(n - i_N), 0)$. Since g is p^r -pure, Corollary 2.6 implies g^{i_N} is pure of slope $-r/d$, and it therefore follows from Lemma 2.4 that the Newton polygon of $f_2(g(x)) = g(x)^{i_N} f'_2(g(x))$ has two segments of slopes (in increasing order) $-r/d$ and s_{N+1}/d . In particular,

$$(3) \quad \text{NP}_p(f_2 \circ g) = \text{LCH}\{(0, m_N + ri_N), (di_N, m_N), (dn, 0)\}.$$

(See Figure 3.)

From Lemma 3.4, we have that $|(m_N - m_0)/(i_N - i_0)| < r$, and in particular

$$(4) \quad m_0 < m_N + ri_N.$$

If $p > 2$, it now follows from Lemma 2.7 (see also Figure 3) that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, \text{ord}_p c_i) &\in \text{NP}_p(f \circ g) \\ &= \text{NP}_p(f_1 \circ g + f_2 \circ g) \\ &\subseteq \text{LCH}\left(\text{NP}_p(f_1 \circ g) \cup \text{NP}_p(f_2 \circ g)\right) \\ &= \text{LCH}\{(0, m_0), (di_1, m_1), \dots, (di_N, m_N), (dn, 0)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to (2), (3), and (4). If $p = 2$, then $\text{NP}_p(f \circ g) = \text{NP}_p(f_1 \circ g + f_2 \circ g + f_3 \circ g)$, and using the fact that the Newton polygon of $f_3 \circ$

$g = \frac{a_{i_N}}{3} g^{i_N}$ is pure of slope $-r/d$ and with rightmost vertex (i_N, m_N) , the same argument holds. This establishes $(Ef \circ g)'$.




Figure 3. Newton polygons of $f_1 \circ g$ (bold) and $f_2 \circ g$ (dashed). The lower convex hull of the union of these Newton polygons is precisely the Newton polygon of $f \circ g$.

We now prove $(Vf \circ g)$. Using the same argument as in Proposition 3.1, it is clear that $\text{ord}_p c_{di_{N+1}} = \text{ord}_p c_{dn} = 0 = m_{N+1}$. Now fix $0 \leq j \leq N-1$ and let α_i and β_i denote the coefficients of $f_1 \circ g$ and $f_2 \circ g$, respectively. Assuming $p > 2$, we have $c_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i$. By the shape of the Newton polygons of $f_1 \circ g$ and $f_2 \circ g$ (see (2) and (3), or Figure 3) we know that $\text{ord}_p \alpha_{di_j} = m_j$ and $\text{ord}_p \beta_{di_j} > m_j$. Thus, $\text{ord}_p c_{di_j} = \min(\text{ord}_p \alpha_{di_j}, \text{ord}_p \beta_{di_j}) = m_j$. (When $p = 2$, write c_i as the sum of the coefficients of $f_1 \circ g$, $f_2 \circ g$, and $f_3 \circ g$ and use the shape of the Newton polygon of $f_3 \circ g$ as described in the previous paragraph.)

It remains to show that $(Vf \circ g)$ holds at $j = N$. Let γ_i be the di_N th coefficient of g^i . Since $f \circ g = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i g^i$, we have

$$c_{di_N} = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \gamma_i.$$

Note that $\deg g^i = di$ implies $\gamma_i = 0$ for all $0 \leq i < i_N$, and also that $\gamma_{i_N} = b_d^{i_N}$. Thus,

$$c_{di_N} = a_{i_N} b_d^{i_N} + \sum_{i=i_N+1}^n a_i \gamma_i.$$

Since $\text{ord}_p(a_{i_N} b_d^{i_N}) = m_N$, it now suffices to prove that the valuation of the sum $\sum_{i=i_N+1}^n a_i \gamma_i$ is strictly larger than m_N . As g^i is pure of slope $-r/d$, the valuation of its coefficients lie on or above the line $y = ir - \frac{xr}{d}$, therefore $\text{ord}_p \gamma_i \geq ir - \frac{di_N r}{d} = ir - i_N r$. Furthermore, we know from the Newton polygon of f that the valuation of a_i for $i > i_N$ lies on or above the line $y = s_{N+1}(i - n)$, therefore $\text{ord}_p a_i \geq s_{N+1}(i - n)$. Putting this together and using the fact that $|s_{N+1}| < r$ and

$s_{N+1} = -m_N/(n - i_N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p \left(\sum_{i=i_N+1}^n a_i \gamma_i \right) &\geq \min_{i_N+1 \leq i \leq n} (s_{N+1}(i - n) + ir - i_N r) \\ &= m_N + s_{N+1} + r \\ &> m_N. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.6. The assumption on the steepness of the slopes of f is necessary for Theorem 3.5. For example, the conclusion of the theorem is false for $f(x) = p^2 + x + p^2 x^2$ (two segments of slopes -2 and 2) and the Eisenstein polynomial $g(x) = p + x^2$.

Corollary 3.7. *There are infinitely many irreducible polynomials $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ such that the following hold:*

- (1) *The Newton polygon of $f \circ g$ has the same number of segments as the Newton polygon of f .*
- (2) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, all roots (in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$) of $f \circ g$ have valuation $< \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let s_1, \dots, s_N be the slopes of the segments of the Newton polygon of f . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose any pair of integers r and d such that $\gcd(r, d) = 1$, and both $|s_i| < r$ and $s_i/d < \varepsilon$ hold for all i . Then $g(x) = x^d + p^r$ is p^r -Dumas (i.e., it is irreducible and p^r -pure) and statements (1) and (2) now follow directly from Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 2.3. □

4. SAMPLE APPLICATION

Recall that a polynomial $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is called *dynamically irreducible* if $g^{\circ n}$ is irreducible for all $n \geq 0$. We give a mild generalization of this notion in the following definition.

Definition 4.1. *Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. We say that g is dynamically irreducible at f if $f \circ g^{\circ n}$ is irreducible for all $n \geq 0$.*

Let

$$f_n(x) = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

denote the n th Taylor polynomial of the exponential function. It is well known that f_n is irreducible for all n (see [Sch30, Col87]).

Proposition 4.2. *Fix $n \geq 1$ and suppose $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is p^r -Dumas at all primes $p \mid n$. If $d = \deg(g)$ is prime and d is strictly larger than all prime divisors of n , then g is dynamically irreducible at f_n .*

Proof. Choose a prime $p \mid n$ and fix $m \geq 0$. We must show that $f_n \circ g^{\circ m}$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Writing $n = \sum_{i=0}^N b_i p^{n_i}$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_N$ and $0 < b_i < p$, we know from [Col87, Lemma §II] that $\text{NP}_p(f_n)$ has N segments of slopes

$$-\frac{(p^{n_i} - 1)}{p^{n_i}(p - 1)}.$$

From Proposition 3.1 (see also Remark 3.2), we know that $g^{\circ m}$ is p^r -pure, thus Theorem 3.5 implies that $\text{NP}_p(f_n \circ g^{\circ m})$ has N segments of slopes

$$-\frac{(p^{n_i} - 1)}{d^m p^{n_i} (p - 1)}.$$

In particular, $d^m p^{\text{ord}_p n} = d^m p^{n_1}$ divides the denominator of each slope of $\text{NP}_p(f_n \circ g^{\circ m})$, thus by [Col87, Corollary §I], $d^m p^{\text{ord}_p n}$ divides the degree of any irreducible factor of $f_n \circ g^{\circ m}$ over \mathbb{Q} . It follows that any irreducible factor of $f_n \circ g^{\circ m}$ has degree $d^m n$, hence the result. \square

Remark 4.3. It is easy to construct polynomials g of any degree satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 4.2. For example, if r and d are distinct primes and $\alpha_n = \prod_{\text{ord}_p(n) > 0} p$ then

$$g(x) = x^d + \alpha_n^r,$$

is p^r -Dumas at all primes $p \mid n$.

REFERENCES

- [Ali05] N. Ali, *Stabilité des polynômes*, Acta Arithmetica, 117 (2005), 53-63.
- [Col87] R. Coleman, *On the Galois groups of exponential Taylor polynomials*, Enseign. Math., 33 (1987), 183-189.
- [DS23] M. O. Darwish and M. Sadek, *Eventual stability of pure polynomials over the rational field*, preprint (2023), [arXiv:2211.11095](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.11095).
- [Dum06] G. Dumas, *Sur quelques cas d'irréductibilité des polynômes à coefficients rationnels*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 2 (1906), 191-258.
- [Eis50] G. Eisenstein, *Über die Irreducibilität und einige andere Eigenschaften der Gleichung, von welcher die Theilung der ganzen Lemniscate abhängt*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 39 (1850), 160-179.
- [Gao01] S. Gao, *Absolute irreducibility of polynomials via Newton polytopes*, J. of Algebra, 237 (2001), no. 2, 501-520.
- [Jak20] A. Jakhar, *On the factors of a polynomial*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 52 (2020), no. 1, 158-160.
- [Neu99] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [Odo87] R. W. K. Odoni, *The Galois theory of iterates and composites of polynomials*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 51 (1985), no. 3, 385-414.
- [Sch30] I. Schur, *Gleichungen ohne Affekt*, Gesammelte Abhandlungen III (67) (1930), 191-197.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNION COLLEGE, SCHENECTADY, NY
 Email address, Rylan Gajek-Leonard: gajekler@union.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNION COLLEGE, SCHENECTADY, NY
 Email address, Uri Tomer: tomeru@union.edu