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#### Abstract

This paper studies the continuous-time join-the-shortest-queue (JSQ) system with general interarrival and service distributions. Under a much weaker assumption than the one in the literature, we prove that each station's scaled steady-state queue length weakly converges to an identical exponential random variable in heavy traffic. Specifically, we establish our results by only assuming $2+\delta_{0}$ moment on the arrival and service distributions for some $\delta_{0}>0$. Our proof exploits the Palm version of the basic adjoint relationship (BAR) approach as a key technique.


## 1 Introduction

We consider a continuous-time queueing system with $J$ parallel service stations, each with a single server and an infinite waiting queue. Jobs arrive at the system following a renewal process, and service times for each station are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) with general distributions. When a job arrives, it is routed to the station with the shortest queue length. This policy is known as the join-the-shortest-queue (JSQ) policy, and the system employing it is called the JSQ system. The JSQ policy is to equalize the queue lengths across stations, thereby reducing the average waiting time.

In this paper, we show that the scaled steady-state queue length for each station weakly converges to the same exponential random variable in heavy traffic. Specifically, we consider a sequence of JSQ systems indexed by $r \in(0,1)$. In heavy traffic with $r \rightarrow 0$ with fixed $J$, we prove that if interarrival and service times have finite $2+\delta_{0}$ moments for some $\delta_{0}>0$, then

$$
\left(r Z_{1}^{(r)}, \ldots, r Z_{J}^{(r)}\right) \Rightarrow\left(Z^{*}, \ldots, Z^{*}\right)
$$

where $\Rightarrow$ denotes convergence in distribution, $Z_{j}^{(r)}$ denotes the steady-state queue length at station $j$ for the $r$ th system, and $Z^{*}$ is an exponential random variable. This result depends on the fact that the steady-state queue length vector collapses to the line where
all queue lengths are equal, in the sense that the deviations from the line are uniformly bounded. This phenomenon of queueing systems in heavy traffic is called state-space collapse (SSC).

Based on a discrete-time framework, the literature studied similar results on SSC and heavy traffic limit for the JSQ system using drift method [Eryilmaz and Srikant, 2012], transform method [Hurtado-Lange and Maguluri, 2020] and Stein's method [Zhou and Shroff, 2020]. These studies, however, assumed interarrival and service times with bounded supports, implying boundedness of all moments. In this work, we consider the continuous-time JSQ system and relax this assumption, demonstrating that only the $2+\delta_{0}$ moment is sufficient to ensure steady-state convergence in heavy traffic.

Our result is underpinned by a novel methodology called the basic adjoint relationship (BAR) approach. A significant benefit of the BAR approach is that it directly characterizes the stationary distribution of a queueing system, eliminating the need to address their transient dynamics. This approach has been successfully applied in recent studies [Braverman et al., 2017, 2023, Dai et al., 2023, Guang et al., 2024] to derive SSC or weak convergence for other various queueing systems.

## 2 Model Setting

We consider a JSQ system with $J$ parallel stations, indexed by $j \in \mathcal{J} \equiv\{1, \ldots, J\}$. For each station $j \in \mathcal{J}$, there is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables $\left\{T_{s, j}(i), i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and a real number $\mu_{j}>0$. For the arrival source, there are an i.i.d. sequence of random variables $\left\{T_{e}(i), i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and a real number $\alpha>0$. All of the above are defined on a common probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$. We assume such $J+1$ sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{T_{e}(i), i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \quad\left\{T_{s, j}(i), i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are independent and unitized, that is, $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}(1)\right]=1$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, j}(1)\right]=1$ for all $j \in \mathcal{J}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}, T_{e}(i) / \alpha$ denotes the interarrival time between the $i$ th and $(i+1)$ th arriving jobs, and $T_{s, j}(i) / \mu_{j}$ stands for the service time of the $i$ th job at station $j$. Accordingly, $\alpha$ is the arrival rate, and $\mu_{j}$ is the service rate at station $j$. We assume the following moment condition on interarrival and service time distributions.

Assumption 1. We assume the interarrival and service times have finite $2+\delta_{0}$ moments for some $\delta_{0}>0$. Specifically, for $\delta_{0}>0$, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{2+\delta_{0}}(1)\right]<\infty, \text { and } \mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, j}^{2+\delta_{0}}(1)\right]<\infty \text { for } j \in \mathcal{J} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The routing decisions adopt the JSQ policy, which assigns the arriving job to the station with the shortest queue length. In the case of a tie, the job is assigned to the station with the smallest index. We use $u(z)$ to represent the routing decision when a job arrives and observes $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{J}\right)$ jobs in the system, where $z_{j}$ is the queue length at station $j$, including possibly the one in service. Specifically, the job is routed to station $j$ if $u(z)=e^{(j)}$, where $e^{(j)}$ denotes a $J$-dimensional unit vector where the $j$ th element is 1 and all other elements are 0 .

A JSQ system can be modeled as a Markov process as follows. For time $t \geq 0$, we denote by $Z_{j}(t)$ the queue length at station $j$. Let $R_{e}(t)$ be the residual time until the next arrival to the system, and $R_{s, j}(t)$ be the residual service time for the job being processed
at station $j$ if $Z_{j}(t)>0$ or the service time of the next job to be processed at station $j$ if $Z_{j}(t)=0$. We write $Z(t)$ and $R_{s}(t)$ for $J$-dimensional random vectors whose $j$ th element are $Z_{j}(t)$ and $R_{s, j}(t)$, respectively. For any $t \geq 0$, we set

$$
X(t)=\left(Z(t), R_{e}(t), R_{s}(t)\right)
$$

Then $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Markov process with respect to the filtration $\mathbb{F}^{X}=\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X}, t \geq 0\right\}$ defined on the state space $\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{J} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{J}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X}=\sigma(\{X(s), 0 \leq s \leq t\})$. We assume that each sample path of the process $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ is right-continuous and has left limits.

To carry out the heavy traffic analysis, we consider a sequence of JSQ systems indexed by $r \in(0,1)$. To keep the presentation clean, we set the arrival rate as the only parameter dependent on $r$ and denote by $\alpha^{(r)}$ the arrival rate for the $r$ th system. All other parameters are assumed to be independent of $r$, including the service rates $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$, unitized interarrival and service times specified in (1). We parameterize $r$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j}-\alpha^{(r)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which the traffic intensity $\rho^{(r)} \equiv \alpha^{(r)} / \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j} \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, that is, the system is in heavy traffic. We then denote by $\left\{X^{(r)}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$ the corresponding Markov process in the $r$ th system. Our result is based on the steady-state behavior. This motivates us to make the following assumption. Under some mild distributional assumptions on interarrival times, the following assumption holds [Bramson, 2011].
Assumption 2. For each $r \in(0,1)$, the Markov process $\left\{X^{(r)}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$ is positive Harris recurrent and has a unique stationary distribution $\pi^{(r)}$.

For $r \in(0,1)$, we denote by

$$
X^{(r)}=\left(Z^{(r)}, R_{e}^{(r)}, R_{s}^{(r)}\right)
$$

the random vector that follows the stationary distribution. To simplify the notation, we use $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\cdot]$ (rather than $\left.\mathbb{E}_{\pi^{(r)}}[\cdot]\right)$ to denote expectation concerning the stationary distribution when the index $r$ is clear from the context.

## 3 Main Results

In this section, we demonstrate that the vector of the scaled steady-state queue length $r Z^{(r)}$ weakly converges to a vector whose elements are the same exponential random variable $Z^{*}$ in heavy traffic.

Theorem 1. Suppose Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. As $r \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\left(r Z_{1}^{(r)}, \ldots, r Z_{J}^{(r)}\right) \Rightarrow\left(Z^{*}, \ldots, Z^{*}\right)
$$

where $Z^{*}$ is an exponential random variable with mean

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{1}{2 J} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j}\left(c_{e}^{2}+c_{s, j}^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $c_{e}^{2}$ is the squared coefficient of variation (SCV) of the interarrival time, and $c_{s, j}^{2}$ is the $S C V$ of the service time at station $j$.

We recall that for a positive random variable $U$, its SCV , denoted as $c^{2}(U)$, is defined to be

$$
c^{2}(U)=\frac{\operatorname{var}(U)}{(\mathbb{E}[U])^{2}}
$$

To prove Theorem 1, we establish the SSC and weak convergence of the scaled average queue length as follows. To establish SSC, we will show that the queue lengths concentrate along the vector $e \equiv(1, \ldots, 1)$. We denote the components of the vector $z$ parallel and perpendicular to the vector $e$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\|}=\frac{\langle z\rangle e}{\|e\|^{2}} e=\bar{z} e, \quad z_{\perp}=z-z_{\|}=\left(z_{j}-\bar{z}\right)_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{z}=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} z_{j} / J$ and the norm is Euclidean norm.
Proposition 2 (State-Space Collapse). Suppose Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. The difference between the queue length and the average queue length is uniformly bounded in heavy traffic, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{1+\delta_{0} /\left(1+\delta_{0}\right)}\right]<\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{\text {min }}=\min _{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j}$.
Remark 1. Proposition 2 is enough to support Theorem 1. Furthermore, if the moment condition in Assumption 1 is strengthened to $M+\delta_{0}$, this SSC result can be similarly extended to $M+\delta_{0} /\left(M+\delta_{0}\right)$, as discussed in Section 5 .

Proposition 3. Suppose Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. As $r \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
r \bar{Z}^{(r)} \Rightarrow Z^{*}
$$

where $Z^{*}$ is an exponential random variable defined in (4).
Proposition 2 and Markov's inequality imply that for any station $j \in \mathcal{J}, r Z_{j}^{(r)}-$ $r \bar{Z}^{(r)}$ converges to 0 in probability. Theorem 1 is, therefore, a direct consequence of Proposition 3.

Throughout the paper, our proof utilizes the BAR, which will be introduced in Section 4. The proof of Propositions 2 and 3 will be presented in Sections 5 and 6, respectively.

## 4 Basic Adjoint Relationship

In this section, we introduce the BAR of the JSQ system for our analysis, which enables us to characterize the stationary distribution of the JSQ system directly.

To characterize the jumps of states resulting from arrivals and service completions, we employ the Palm measure proposed in Braverman et al. [2023]. The Palm measure for external arrivals is represented by $\mathbb{P}_{e}$ and for service completions at station $j \in \mathcal{J}$ by $\mathbb{P}_{s, j}$. The following lemma characterizes the relationship between the pre-jump and post-jump states under the Palm measures, and its proof follows from Lemma 6.3 in Braverman et al. [2023].

Lemma 4. The pre-jump state $X_{-}$and the post-jump state $X_{+}$have the following representation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{+}=X_{-}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(e^{(j)}, T_{e} / \alpha, 0\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}\right)=e^{(j)}\right), \text { under } \mathbb{P}_{e} \\
& X_{+}=X_{-}+\left(-e^{(j)}, 0, e^{(j)} T_{s, j} / \mu_{j}\right), \quad \text { under } \mathbb{P}_{s, j}, j \in \mathcal{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T_{e}, T_{s, j}$ for $j \in \mathcal{J}$ are random variables defined on the measurable space $\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}, \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)\right)$, such that, under Palm distribution $\mathbb{P}_{e}, T_{e}$ is independent of $X_{-}$and has the same distribution as that of $T_{e}(1)$ on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and, under Palm distribution $\mathbb{P}_{s, j}, T_{s, j}$ is independent of $X_{-}$and has the same distribution as that of $T_{s, j}(1)$ on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of bounded function $f: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions: for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{J}$, (a) the function $f(z, \cdot, \cdot): \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable at all but finitely many points; (b) the derivatives of $f(z, \cdot, \cdot)$ in each dimension have a uniform bound over $z$.

For a JSQ system with a Markov process $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ and steady-state vector $X$ defined in Section 2, we obtain the BAR as follows: for any $f \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\mathcal{A} f(X)]=\alpha \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[f\left(X_{+}\right)-f\left(X_{-}\right)\right]+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_{j} \mathbb{E}_{s, j}\left[f\left(X_{+}\right)-f\left(X_{-}\right)\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{j}=\mu_{j} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{j}>0\right)$ is the departure rate at station $j$ with the property $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_{j}=\alpha$ by conservation of flow, and the terms on the right-hand side of (7) correspond to state changes by jumps resulting from arrival and service completion, respectively; $\mathcal{A}$ is the "interior operator" defined as

$$
\mathcal{A} f(x)=-\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial r_{e}}-\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial r_{s, j}} \mathbb{1}\left(z_{j}>0\right), x=\left(z, r_{e}, r_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{S},
$$

which characterizes the system evolution between jumps. The derivation of the BAR (7) is similar to Section 6 of Braverman et al. [2023], and the proof is omitted here for brevity.

## 5 Proof of Proposition 2

In this section, we present the proof of Proposition 2 using the BAR approach. We utilize the mathematical induction following the idea from Guang et al. [2024] and the BAR in (7) with test functions inspired by Eryilmaz and Srikant [2012] and Guang et al. [2024].

Instead of proving Proposition 2, we will discuss a more general SSC under a higher moment condition in Proposition 5. We will first provide the proof for the integer moment condition when $\varepsilon=0$, and then extend the results to general cases when $\varepsilon>0$.

Proposition 5. Given an integer $M \geq 1$ and a constant $\varepsilon \in[0,1)$, suppose the following moments exist for the unitized times:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M+1+\varepsilon}(1)\right]<\infty, \text { and } \mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, j}^{M+1+\varepsilon}(1)\right]<\infty \text { for } j \in \mathcal{J} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists a positive constant $C<\infty$, independent of $r$, such that for all $r \in$ $\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{M+\varepsilon /(M+\varepsilon)}\right] \leq C
$$

The proof is based on the mathematical induction and the BAR in (7) with appropriately designed test functions. We present the proof sketch in Section 5.1 and provide the detailed proof in Sections 5.2-5.6. Finally, in Section 5.7, we extend the results to general cases when $\varepsilon>0$.

### 5.1 Sketch of Proof

We first prove Proposition 5 with $\varepsilon=0$. Our statements include moment bounds for $\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|$ and auxiliary results bounding the expectations of some cross terms of $\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|$ and the residual times as follows.

Induction hypotheses. For each integer $n=0, \ldots, M$, there exist positive and finite constants $C_{n}, D_{n}, E_{n}, F_{n}$ that are independent of $r$ such that the following statements hold for all $r \in\left(0, \mu_{\text {min }} / 2\right)$ :
(S1) $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \leq C_{n}$.
(S2) $\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \leq D_{n}$.
(S3) $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\left(R_{e}^{(r)}, R_{s}^{(r)}\right)\right] \leq E_{n}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\left(R_{-, e}^{(r)}, R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\left(R_{-, e}^{(r)}, R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\right] \leq F_{n} \tag{S4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{n}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right)=r_{e}^{n}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} r_{s, j}^{n}$.
The function $\psi_{M-n}$, appearing on the left-hand side of the auxiliary statements (S3)(S4), depends on the order $M+1$ of the moment condition. This design of the auxiliary statements plays a crucial role in our proof and helps reduce the order of the moment condition required for establishing the uniform bounds on $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{M}\right]$.

Mathematical induction. We initially prove (S1)-(S4) for the base step for $n=0$. The proof details are provided in Section 5.2. For the induction step, we verify (S1)-(S4) for each given $n$, under the induction hypotheses that they hold for $0, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof of (S1) To prove (S1) for induction step $n$, we consider the following test function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|z_{\perp}\right\|^{n+1}-z_{\perp}^{\prime} u(z) \cdot \alpha^{(r)} r_{e} \cdot\left\|z_{\perp}\right\|^{n-1}+z_{\perp}^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ r_{s}\right) \cdot\left\|z_{\perp}\right\|^{n-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\circ$ is the element-wise product. Since $e^{\prime} u(z)=1$ and $z^{\prime} u(z)=z_{\min }$, we have the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\perp}^{\prime} u(z)=(z-\bar{z} e)^{\prime} u(z)=z_{\min }-\bar{z} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f_{n}$ is designed such that applying the operator $\mathcal{A}$ to $f_{n}$ yields terms of order $\left\|z_{\perp}\right\|^{n}$ and the Palm terms in BAR (7) will have the polynomial in $\left\|z_{\perp}\right\|^{n-1}$. Therefore, substituting $f_{n}$ in (9) into the BAR (7), we obtain an inequality in the form of

$$
a \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \leq B
$$

where $a>0$ is a constant independent of $r$. The constant $B$ is the upper bound of a linear combination of terms given by Statements (S1)-(S4) corresponding to pairs in $S_{k, n}$ with finite coefficients that are independent of $r$. Thus, $B$ is also finite and independent of $r$ following the induction hypotheses. Consequently, we can prove (S1) for the induction step $n$. The detailed proof of (S1), including the definition of constant $a$ and the derivation of $B$, is given in (29) of Section 5.3.

Proof of (S2) to (S4) The proofs for Statements (S2)-(S4) follow a similar argument as in the proof of (S1), in which statements are bounded by applying specific test functions to the BAR (7) and utilizing the induction hypotheses. Below we specify the test functions for (S2)-(S4) in (11)-(13), respectively. The complete proofs are given in Sections 5.4-5.6.

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n, D}(x) & =\left\|z_{\perp}\right\|^{n} \psi_{1}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right)  \tag{11}\\
f_{n, E}(x) & =\left\|z_{\perp}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n+1}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right),  \tag{12}\\
f_{n, F}(x) & =\left\|z_{\perp}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right) \psi_{1}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right), \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The above test functions are not bounded and hence not in $\mathcal{D}$. To address this issue, we can use a standard truncation technique as employed in Guang et al. [2024], which will be omitted here for brevity.

### 5.2 Proof of Base Step When $n=0$

In this section, we aim to demonstrate that Statements (S1)-(S4) hold when $n=0$. Clearly, (S1) and (S2) are trivially satisfied for $n=0$. Throughout the rest of the paper, we use the shorthand notation $\psi$ for $\psi\left(R_{e}^{(r)}, R_{s}^{(r)}\right)$ under $\pi$ and for $\psi\left(R_{-, e}^{(r)}, R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)$ under Palm measures, when the context is clear.

To prove Statement (S3) when $n=0$, we employ the test function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0, E}(x)=\psi_{M+1}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the test function $f_{0, E}$ to the BAR (7), the left-hand side of (7) becomes

$$
-\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\mathcal{A} f\left(X^{(r)}\right)\right]=(M+1) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left(\left(R_{e}^{(r)}\right)^{M}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right)\right)\right] .
$$

The right-hand side of the BAR (7) corresponding to the external arrival is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[f_{0, E}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{0, E}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\psi_{M+1}\left(R_{+, e}^{(r)}, R_{+, s}^{(r)}\right)-\psi_{M+1}\left(R_{-, e}^{(r)}, R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left(\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)^{M+1}\right]=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M+1}\right]}{\left(\alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 4 . The right-hand side of the BAR (7) corresponding to the service completion at station $\ell \in \mathcal{J}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[f_{0, E}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{0, E}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\psi_{M+1}\left(R_{+, e}^{(r)}, R_{+, s}^{(r)}\right)-\psi_{M+1}\left(R_{-, e}^{(r)}, R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)^{M+1}\right]=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}^{M+1}\right]}{\mu_{\ell}^{M+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 4.
Combining the above results, the BAR (7) with the test function $f_{0, E}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (M+1) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left(\left(R_{e}^{(r)}\right)^{M}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right)\right)\right] \\
& \quad \leq \alpha^{(r)} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M+1}\right]}{\left(\alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M+1}}+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_{\ell}^{(r)} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}^{M+1}\right]}{\mu_{\ell}^{M+1}} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M+1}\right]}{\mu_{\max }^{M}}+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}^{M+1}\right]}{\mu_{\ell}^{M}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality holds due $\alpha^{(r)} \geq \mu_{\max }$ for all $r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)$ and $\lambda_{\ell}^{(r)} \leq \mu_{\ell}$.
Since $R_{s, j}^{(r)} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right) \stackrel{d}{=} T_{s, j} / \mu_{j} \cdot \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)$ for all $j \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, j}^{M}\right]}{\mu_{j}^{M}} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, j}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M}{M+1}}}{\mu_{j}^{M}}
$$

and hence, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left(R_{e}^{(r)}\right)^{M}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M}\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M+1}\right]}{\mu_{\max }^{M}}+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}^{M+1}\right]}{\mu_{\ell}^{M}},+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, j}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M}{M+1}}}{\mu_{j}^{M}}
$$

which completes the proof of (S3) when $n=0$.
To prove Statement (S4) when $n=0$, we employ the test function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0, F}(x)=\psi_{M}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right) \psi_{1}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the test function $f_{0, F}$ to the BAR (7), the left-hand side of (7) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\mathcal{A} f\left(X^{(r)}\right)\right]= & \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\psi_{M} \cdot\left(1+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right)\right)\right] \\
& +M \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\psi_{1} \cdot\left(\left(R_{e}^{(r)}\right)^{M-1}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M-1} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right)\right)\right] \\
\leq & (J+1) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\psi_{M}\right]+M \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\psi_{M-1} \psi_{1}\right] \leq\left(2 J+4 J^{2} M\right) E_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality holds due to the base step of Statement (S3) and the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{M-1}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right) \psi_{1}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right) \leq(J+1) r_{\max }^{M-1} \cdot(J+1) r_{\max } \leq 4 J^{2} r_{\max }^{M} \leq 4 J^{2} \psi_{M}\left(r_{e}, r_{s}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\max }=\max \left\{\left\{r_{e}\right\} \cup\left\{r_{s, j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\right\}$ and $J \geq 1$.
The right-hand side of the BAR (7) corresponding to the external arrival is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[f_{0, F}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{0, F}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left(\psi_{M}+\left(\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)^{M}\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)-\psi_{M} \psi_{1}\right] \\
& \geq \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\psi_{M} \frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right]=\frac{1}{\alpha^{(r)}} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\psi_{M}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inequality holds by neglecting the terms associated with $\left(T_{e} / \alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M}$, and the last equality follows from Lemma 4 and $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}\right]=1$.

The right-hand side of the BAR (7) corresponding to the service completion at station $\ell \in \mathcal{J}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[f_{0, F}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{0, F}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(\psi_{M}+\left(\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)^{M}\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)-\psi_{M} \psi_{1}\right] \\
& \geq \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\psi_{M} \frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right]=\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\psi_{M}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inequality holds by neglecting the terms associated with $\left(T_{s, \ell} / \mu_{\ell}\right)^{M}$, and the last equality follows from Lemma 4 and $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}\right]=1$.

Combining the above results, the BAR (7) with the test function $f_{0, F}$ yields

$$
\left(2 J+4 J^{2} M\right) E_{0} \geq \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\psi_{M}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\lambda_{\ell}^{(r)}}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\psi_{M}\right] \geq \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\psi_{M}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\mu_{\ell}-r}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\psi_{M}\right]
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\ell}-r \leq \lambda_{\ell}^{(r)} \leq \mu_{\ell} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The definition of $\lambda_{\ell}^{(r)}$ implies that $\lambda_{\ell}^{(r)} \leq \mu_{\ell}$ in (17) holds. By the conservation of flow that $\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_{\ell}^{(r)}=\alpha^{(r)}$, we have $r=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j}^{(r)}-\alpha^{(r)}=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(\mu_{\ell}-\lambda_{\ell}^{(r)}\right)$. Due to $\mu_{\ell}-\lambda_{\ell}^{(r)} \geq 0$, we have $\mu_{\ell}-\lambda_{\ell}^{(r)} \leq r$, which completes the proof of (17).

Therefore, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\psi_{M}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\psi_{M}\right] \leq \frac{\left(2 J+4 J^{2} M\right) E_{0}}{\min _{\ell \in \mathcal{J}, r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)} \frac{\mu_{\ell}-r}{\mu_{\ell}}} \leq \frac{\left(2 J+4 J^{2} M\right) E_{0}}{\frac{\mu_{\min }}{2 \mu_{\max }}}
$$

which completes the proof of (S4) when $n=0$.

### 5.3 Proof of (S1)

Assuming that Statements (S1)-(S4) hold for $0,1, \ldots, n-1$ according to the induction hypotheses, we proceed to prove Statement (S1) for the induction step $n$.

Applying the test function $f_{n}(9)$ to the BAR (7), the left-hand side of (7) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
-\mathcal{A} f\left(X^{(r)}\right) & =\left[-\alpha^{(r)}\left(Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z^{(r)}\right)+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} Z_{\perp, j}^{(r)} \mu_{j} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right)\right]\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& =\left[-\alpha^{(r)}\left(Z_{\min }^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)}\right)+\left(Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \mu-\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} Z_{\perp, j}^{(r)} \mu_{j} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)\right]\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}, \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality follows from (10).

The first two terms in (18) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\alpha^{(r)}\left(Z_{\min }^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)}\right)+\left(Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \mu & =-\left(e^{\prime} \mu-r\right)\left(Z_{\min }^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)}\right)+\left(Z^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)} e\right)^{\prime} \mu \\
& =r\left(Z_{\min }^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)}\right)-e^{\prime} \mu\left(Z_{\min }^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)}\right)+\left(Z^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)} e\right)^{\prime} \mu \\
& =r\left(Z_{\min }^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)}\right)+\left(Z^{(r)}-Z_{\min }^{(r)} e\right)^{\prime} \mu \\
& \geq r\left(Z_{\min }^{(r)}-Z_{\max }^{(r)}\right)+\left(Z_{\max }^{(r)}-Z_{\min }^{(r)}\right) \mu_{\operatorname{arg~max}_{k \in \mathcal{J}} Z_{k}^{(r)}} \\
& \geq r\left(Z_{\min }^{(r)}-Z_{\max }^{(r)}\right)+\left(Z_{\max }^{(r)}-Z_{\min }^{(r)}\right) \mu_{\min } \\
& =\left(\mu_{\min }-r\right)\left(Z_{\max }^{(r)}-Z_{\min }^{(r)}\right) \geq \frac{\mu_{\min }}{2 \sqrt{J}}\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first equality follows from the fact that $\alpha^{(r)}=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j}-r$ in (3) and $Z_{\perp}^{(r)}=$ $Z^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)} \cdot e$ in (5), the first inequality holds by $Z_{\max }^{(r)} \equiv \max _{j \in \mathcal{J}} Z_{j}^{(r)} \geq \bar{Z}^{(r)}$ and neglecting all terms except the term $Z_{\max }^{(r)}$, and the last inequality holds due to $r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)$ and

$$
\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|=\sqrt{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)}\right)^{2}} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(Z_{\max }^{(r)}-Z_{\min }^{(r)}\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{J}\left(Z_{\max }^{(r)}-Z_{\min }^{(r)}\right) .
$$

Moreover, the last term in (18) can be rewritten as
$-\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} Z_{\perp, j}^{(r)} \mu_{j} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)=-\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}-\bar{Z}^{(r)}\right) \mu_{j} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \bar{Z}^{(r)} \mu_{j} \mathbb{\mathbb { 1 }}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right) \geq 0$
Hence, (18) becomes

$$
-\mathcal{A} f\left(X^{(r)}\right) \geq \frac{\mu_{\min }}{2 \sqrt{J}}\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\| \cdot\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1} \geq \frac{\mu_{\min }}{2 \sqrt{J}}\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}
$$

and consequently, the left-hand side of (7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\mathcal{A} f\left(X^{(r)}\right)\right] \geq \frac{\mu_{\min }}{2 \sqrt{J}} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

When an external arrival routed to station $\ell$ occurs, the jump increment of $Z_{\perp}^{(r)}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\ell} & \equiv Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}-Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}=\left(Z_{+}^{(r)}-\bar{Z}_{+}^{(r)} \cdot e\right)-\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}-\bar{Z}_{-}^{(r)} \cdot e\right) \\
& =\left[\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}+e^{(\ell)}\right)-\left(\bar{Z}_{-}^{(r)}+\frac{1}{J}\right) \cdot e\right]-\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}-\bar{Z}_{-}^{(r)} \cdot e\right)=e^{(\ell)}-e / J \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

with properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|=\sqrt{1-1 / J} \in(0,1), \delta_{\ell}^{\prime} e^{(\ell)}=1-1 / J \in(0,1), \delta_{\ell}^{\prime} Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}=\left(e^{(\ell)}\right)^{\prime} Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}=Z_{-, \perp, \ell}^{(r)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$, the increment of $f_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)=\left[\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n+1}-\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n+1}\right] \\
& \quad-\left[\left(Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{+}^{(r)}\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} \cdot T_{e} / \alpha^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} \cdot 0\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
& \quad+\left[\left(Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{+, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
&= \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left[\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n+1}-\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n+1}\right] \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) \\
& \quad-\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}+e^{(\ell)}\right) T_{e}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left[\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

By the fact that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{J}$,

$$
\nabla\|z\|=\frac{z}{\|z\|}, \quad \nabla\|z\|^{n}=n\|z\|^{n-2} z, \quad \nabla^{2}\|z\|^{n}=n\|z\|^{n-2} I+n(n-2)\|z\|^{n-4} z z^{\prime}
$$

the Taylor expansion of $\|z\|^{n+1} /(n+1)$ around $Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n+1}= & \frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n+1}-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \delta_{\ell}^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+\frac{n-1}{2}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-3}\left(\delta_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\xi \in(0,1)$. Therefore, the first term in (22) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n+1}-\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n+1} \leq\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+2^{n-1} \delta_{\ell}^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\| \leq 1$ in (21).
Since the routing policy will choose the queue with the shortest jobs, $\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=$ $Z_{-, \text {min }}^{(r)}$ and $\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u(z) \geq Z_{-, \text {min }}^{(r)}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{J}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u(z) \\
& \quad=\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}-\bar{Z}_{-}^{(r)} \cdot e\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)-\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}-\bar{Z}_{-}^{(r)} \cdot e\right)^{\prime} u(z) \\
& \quad=\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)-\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u(z) \leq Z_{-, \text {min }}^{(r)}-Z_{-, \min }^{(r)}=0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that $e^{\prime} u(z)=1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{J}$. Therefore,
the second term of (22) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+e^{(\ell)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right) T_{e}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& =-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right) T_{e}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(e^{(\ell)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right) T_{e}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& \leq-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right) T_{e}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}+\left\|e^{(\ell)}\right\|\left\|u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right)\right\| T_{e} 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\right) \\
& \leq-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right) T_{e}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}+T_{e} 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality holds due to (24) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the second inequality follows from the fact that $\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\| \leq 1$ in (21) and $\|u(z)\|=1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{J}$.

For any vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^{J}$, the Taylor expansion of $a^{\prime} z \cdot\|z\|^{n-1}$ around $Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\prime} Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)} \cdot\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}= & a^{\prime}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right) \cdot\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}-a^{\prime} \delta_{\ell} \cdot\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& -(n-1) a^{\prime}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right) \cdot\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-3} \delta_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\xi \in(0,1)$. Hence, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right) \cdot\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}-a^{\prime} Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)} \cdot\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& \quad \leq\|a\|\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}+(n-1)\|a\|\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq n\|a\|\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\| 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+\left\|\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\right) \leq n\|a\| 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\| \leq 1$ in (21) and $\xi<1$. Therefore, the third term in (22) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& \quad \leq n 2^{n-1}\left\|\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \leq n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality holds due to $\mu_{j} \leq \mu_{\max }$ for all $j \in \mathcal{J}$.
Therefore, (22) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right) T_{e}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}+T_{e} 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the expectation under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$, the right-hand side of (7) that corresponds to the
external arrival becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{e} & {\left[f_{n}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] } \\
\leq & \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right) T_{e}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}+T_{e} 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} e^{(\ell)}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}+2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[2^{n}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+2^{n}+n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|+n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
\leq & 2^{n} D_{n-1}+2^{n}+n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max } J F_{0}^{1 / M}+n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max } J D_{n-1}^{(M-n) /(M-n+1)} F_{n-1}^{1 /(M-n+1)} \equiv \Theta_{1}, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 4 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}\right]=1$, the second equality holds by canceling the first terms of the first and second lines and the fact that $\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)=1$, the last inequality follows from Hölder's inequality and the induction hypotheses (S2) and (S4), and we denote the final upper bound by a constant $\Theta_{1}$ independent of $r$.

When a service completion at station $\ell$ occurs, the jump increment of $Z_{\perp}^{(r)}$ is $-\delta_{\ell}$, where $\delta_{\ell}$ is defined in (20). Therefore, under $\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell}$, the increment of $f_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)=\left[\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n+1}-\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n+1}\right] \\
&-\left[\left(Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{+}^{(r)}\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{+, e}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
&+\left[\left(Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{+, s}^{(r)}+T_{s, \ell} e^{(\ell)}\right)\left\|Z_{+, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
&= {\left[\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n+1}-\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n+1}\right] } \\
&-\left[\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}-e^{(\ell)}\right)-u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
&-\left[\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
&+\left[\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
&+\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime}\left(T_{s, \ell} e^{(\ell)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $\delta_{\ell}$ by $-\delta_{\ell}$ in (23), the first term of (27) becomes
$\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n+1}-\frac{1}{n+1}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n+1} \leq-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)$.
Following (24), the second term of (27) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}-e^{(\ell)}\right)-u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& \leq \delta_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}-e^{(\ell)}\right)-u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& \leq\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|\left(\left\|u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}-e^{(\ell)}\right)\right\|+\left\|u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right\|\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}\right) 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\right) \\
& \leq 2^{n} J \mu_{\max } R_{-, e}^{(r)}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality holds due to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and triangle inequality, and the last inequality follows from the fact that $\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\| \leq 1$ in $(21),\|u(z)\|=1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{J}$ and $\alpha^{(r)} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j} \leq J \mu_{\max }$.

Substituting $a$ by $-u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right) \alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}+\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}$ in (25), the third and fourth terms of (27) become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left[\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
& + \\
& \quad\left[\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}-\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
& \quad \leq n\left\|-u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right) \alpha^{(r)} R_{-, e}^{(r)}+\mu \circ R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\| 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \\
& \quad \leq n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left(J R_{-, e}^{(r)}+\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\right)\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the triangle inequality and the fact that $\mu_{j} \leq \mu_{\max }$ for all $j \in \mathcal{J}$.

The last term in (27) becomes

$$
\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right)^{\prime}\left(T_{s, \ell} e^{(\ell)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \leq\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(T_{s, \ell} e^{(\ell)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}
$$

where the inequality holds due to the fact that $\delta_{\ell}^{\prime} e^{(\ell)} \geq 0$ by (24).
Therefore, the increment of $f_{n}$ under $\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) \\
& \leq-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)+2^{n} J \mu_{\max } R_{-, e}^{(r)}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \\
&+n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left(J R_{-, e}^{(r)}+\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\right)\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)+\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(T_{s, \ell} e^{(\ell)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the expectation under $\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell}$, the right-hand side of (7) that corresponds to the
service completion at station $\ell$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell} & {\left[f_{n}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] } \\
\leq & \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[2^{n} J \mu_{\max } R_{-, e}^{(r)}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left(J R_{-, e}^{(r)}+\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\right)\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime}\left(T_{s, \ell} e^{(\ell)}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{\ell}+2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[2^{n} J \mu_{\max } R_{-, e}^{(r)}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left(J R_{-, e}^{(r)}+\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\right)\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right)^{\prime} e^{(\ell)}\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)+2^{n} J \mu_{\max } R_{-, e}^{(r)}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max }\left(J R_{-, e}^{(r)}+\left\|R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right\|\right)\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\right] \\
\leq & 2^{n-1}\left(D_{n-1}+1\right)+(n+2) 2^{n-1} J \mu_{\max }\left(D_{n-1}^{\frac{M-n}{M-n+1}} F_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{M-n+1}}+F_{0}^{\frac{1}{M}}\right) \\
& +n 2^{n-1} \mu_{\max } J\left(D_{n-1}^{\frac{M-n}{M+1}} F_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{M-n+1}}+F_{0}^{\frac{1}{M}}\right) \\
= & 2^{n-1} D_{n-1}+2^{n-1}+(n+1) 2^{n} J \mu_{\max }\left(D_{n-1}^{\frac{M-n}{M-n+1}} F_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{M-n+1}}+F_{0}^{\frac{1}{M}}\right) \equiv \Theta_{2}, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 4 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}\right]=1$, the second equality holds by canceling the first terms of the first and last lines, the last inequality follows from Hölder's inequality and the induction hypotheses (S2) and (S4), and we denote the final upper bound by a constant $\Theta_{2}$ independent of $r$.

In summary, combining (19), (26) and (28), the BAR (7) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu_{\min }}{2 \sqrt{J}} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \leq \alpha^{(r)} \Theta_{1}+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda^{(r)} \Theta_{2} \leq J \mu_{\max }\left(\Theta_{1}+\Theta_{2}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof of (S1) for the induction step $n$.

### 5.4 Proof of (S2)

Based on the induction hypotheses, we assume that Statement (S1) holds for $0,1 \ldots, n$, and Statements (S2)-(S4) are satisfied for $0,1, \ldots, n-1$. We will now demonstrate the validity of Statement (S2) for the induction step $n$ by substituting $f_{n, D}$ as defined in (11) into the BAR (7).

Plugging $f_{n, D}$ into the BAR, the left-hand side becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\mathcal{A} f\left(X^{(r)}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\left(1+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{\ell}^{(r)}>0\right)\right)\right] \leq(J+1) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \leq(J+1) C_{n} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the induction hypothesis (S1) for $n$.
Under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$, the increment of $f_{n, D}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n, D}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, D}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{1}\right] \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}, \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)=1$.
By using the mean value theorem, there exists a $\xi \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}=n \delta_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-2} \geq-n\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& \quad \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+\left\|\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\right) \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first inequality holds due to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the last inequality follows from the fact that $\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\| \leq 1$ in (21).

Therefore, the increment of $f_{n, D}$ under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$ is

$$
f_{n, D}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, D}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}} .
$$

Taking the expectation under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$, the right-hand side of (7) that corresponds to the external arrival becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{e} & {\left[f_{n, D}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, D}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] } \\
& \geq-n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right] \\
& =-n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{r r}\right\|^{n} \frac{1}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right] \\
& \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left(J D_{n-1}^{\frac{M-n}{M-n+1}} F_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{M-n+1}}+J F_{0}^{\frac{1}{M}}+D_{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_{\max }}+\frac{1}{\mu_{\max }}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha^{(r)}} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \\
& \equiv-\Theta_{3}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{(r)}} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where the equality follows from Lemma 4 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}\right]=1$, the last inequality holds by Hölder's inequality, the induction hypotheses (S2) and (S4) and $\alpha^{(r)}=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j}-$ $r \in\left(\mu_{\max }, J \mu_{\max }\right)$, and we denote the final lower bound of the first part by a constant $\Theta_{3}$ independent of $r$.

Under $\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell}$, the increment of $f_{n, D}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n, D}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, D}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) & =\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{1} \\
& =\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}} \\
& \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inequality follows from (32) by replacing $\delta_{\ell}$ by $-\delta_{\ell}$.
Taking the expectation under $\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell}$, the right-hand side of (7) that corresponds to the service completion at station $\ell$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell} {\left[f_{n, D}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, D}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] } \\
& \geq-n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right] \\
& \quad=-n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}}\right] \\
& \quad \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left(J D_{n-1}^{\frac{M-n}{M-n+1}} F_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{M-n+1}}+J F_{0}^{\frac{1}{M}}+D_{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}}+\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \\
& \quad \equiv-\Theta_{4}+\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where the equality follows from Lemma 4 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}\right]=1$, the last inequality holds by Hölder's inequality and the induction hypotheses (S2) and (S4), and we denote the final lower bound of the first part by a constant $\Theta_{4}$ independent of $r$.

In summary, combining (30), (33) and (34), the BAR (7) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(J+1) C_{n} \geq & -\alpha^{(r)} \Theta_{3}+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_{\ell}^{(r)}\left(-\Theta_{4}+\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right]\right) \\
& \geq-\alpha^{(r)}\left(\Theta_{3}+\Theta_{4}\right)+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\mu_{\ell}-r}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from $\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_{\ell}^{(r)}=\alpha^{(r)}$ and (17).
Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] & \leq \frac{(J+1) C_{n}+\alpha^{(r)}\left(\Theta_{3}+\Theta_{4}\right)}{\min _{\ell \in \mathcal{J}, r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)} \frac{\mu_{\ell}-r}{\mu_{\ell}}} \\
& \leq \frac{(J+1) C_{n}+\left(\Theta_{3}+\Theta_{4}\right) \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{\ell}}{\frac{\mu_{\min }}{2 \mu_{\max }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of (S2) for the induction step $n$.

### 5.5 Proof of (S3)

Building upon the induction hypotheses, we assume that Statements (S1) and (S2) hold for $0,1, \ldots, n$, while Statements (S3) and (S4) are satisfied for $0,1, \ldots, n-1$. In this
section, we aim to prove Statement (S3) for the induction step $n$. Note that when $n=M$, Statement (S3) holds trivially due to Statement (S1). Hence, in the subsequent analysis, we will solely focus on the cases when $1 \leq n<M$.

Plugging $f_{n, E}$ in (12) into BAR (7), the left-hand side becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\mathcal{A} f\left(X^{(r)}\right)\right]=(M-n+1) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\left(\left(R_{e}^{(r)}\right)^{M-n}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M-n} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right)\right)\right] . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$, the increment of $f_{n, E}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n, E}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, E}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\left(\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)^{M-n+1}\right)-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n+1}\right] \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right)\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\left(\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)^{M-n+1}\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) \\
& \quad+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\left(\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)^{M-n+1} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)=1$.
By using the mean value theorem, there exists a $\xi \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}=n \delta_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right)\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-2} \leq n\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1} \\
& \quad \leq n 2^{n-1}\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\|\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+\left\|\xi \delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n-1}\right) \leq n 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right) \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first inequality holds due to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the last inequality follows from the fact that $\left\|\delta_{\ell}\right\| \leq 1$ in (21).

Therefore, the increment of $f_{n, E}$ under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$ is

$$
f_{n, E}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, E}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) \leq n 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\frac{T_{e}^{M-n+1}}{\left(\alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M-n+1}}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{e}^{M-n+1}}{\left(\alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M-n+1}}
$$

Taking the expectation under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$, the right-hand side of (7) that corresponds to the external arrival becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{e} & {\left[f_{n, E}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, E}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] } \\
& \leq n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\frac{T_{e}^{M-n+1}}{\left(\alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M-n+1}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{e}^{M-n+1}}{\left(\alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M-n+1}}\right] \\
& =n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M-n+1}\right]}{\left(\alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M-n+1}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right] \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M-n+1}\right]}{\left(\alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M-n+1}} \\
& \leq n 2^{n-1}\left(F_{n-1}+J F_{0}^{\frac{M-n+1}{M}}+D_{n-1} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M-n+1}{M+1}}}{\mu_{\max }^{M-n+1}}+\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M-n+1}{M+1}}}{\mu_{\max }^{M-n+1}}\right)+\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M-n+1}{M+1}}}{\mu_{\max }^{M-n+1}} D_{n} \\
& \equiv \Theta_{6} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 4 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}\right]=1$, the last inequality holds by Hölder's inequality, the induction hypotheses (S2) and (S4) and $\alpha^{(r)} \geq$ $\mu_{\max }$ for any $r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)$, and we denote the final upper bound by a constant $\Theta_{6}$ independent of $r$.

Under $\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell}$, the increment of $f_{n, E}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n, E}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, E}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) \\
& \quad=\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}^{M-n+1}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}\right)-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n+1} \\
& \quad=\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right)\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}^{M-n+1}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}^{M-n+1}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}} \\
& \quad \leq n 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}^{M-n+1}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}^{M-n+1}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (37) by replacing $\delta_{\ell}$ by $-\delta_{\ell}$.
Taking the expectation under $\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell}$, the right-hand side of (7) that corresponds to the service completion at station $\ell$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell} {\left[f_{n, E}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, E}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] } \\
& \leq n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}^{M-n+1}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}^{M-n+1}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}\right] \\
& \quad \leq n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(\psi_{M-n+1}+\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}^{M-n+1}\right]}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}^{M-n+1}\right]}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}\right] \\
& \quad \leq n 2^{n-1}\left(F_{n-1}+J F_{0}^{\frac{M-n+1}{M}}+D_{n-1} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M-n+1}{M+1}}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}+\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M-n+1}{M+1}}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}}\right)+\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M-n+1}{M+1}}}{\mu_{\ell}^{M-n+1}} D_{n} \\
& \quad \equiv \Theta_{7}, \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 4 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}\right]=1$, the last inequality holds by Hölder's inequality, the induction hypotheses (S2) and (S4) and $\alpha^{(r)} \geq$ $\mu_{\max }$ for any $r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)$, and we denote the final upper bound by a constant $\Theta_{7}$ independent of $r$.

In summary, combining (35), (38) and (39), the BAR (7) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\left(\left(R_{e}^{(r)}\right)^{M-n}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M-n} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right)\right)\right] & \leq \frac{\alpha^{(r)} \Theta_{6}+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_{\ell}^{(r)} \Theta_{7}}{M-n+1} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(\Theta_{6}+\Theta_{7}\right) \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j}}{M-n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R_{s, j}^{(r)} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right) \stackrel{d}{=} T_{s, j} / \mu_{j} \cdot \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)$ for all $j \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M-n} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, j}^{M-n}\right]}{\mu_{j}^{M-n}} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)\right] \leq C_{n} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, j}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M-n}{M+1}}}{\mu_{j}^{M-n}}
$$

and hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\left(R_{e}^{(r)}, R_{s}^{(r)}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\left(\left(R_{e}^{(r)}\right)^{M-n}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M-n}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\left(\Theta_{6}+\Theta_{7}\right) \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j}}{M-n+1}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} C_{n} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, j}^{M+1}\right]^{\frac{M-n}{M+1}}}{\mu_{j}^{M-n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of (S3) for the induction step $n$.

### 5.6 Proof of (S4)

Given the induction hypotheses, we assume that Statements (S1)-(S3) hold for $0,1, \ldots, n$, and Statement (S4) is satisfied for $0,1, \ldots, n-1$. We will now prove Statement (S4) for the induction step $n$. However, if $n=M$, Statement (S4) is trivially satisfied due to Statement (S2). Therefore, in the following analysis, we will focus on the cases where $1 \leq n<M$.

Applying $f_{n, F}$ in (13) into BAR (7), the left-hand side becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
- & \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\mathcal{A} f\left(X^{(r)}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\left(1+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right)\right)\right] \\
& +(M-n) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{1}\left(\left(R_{e}^{(r)}\right)^{M-n-1}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(R_{s, j}^{(r)}\right)^{M-n-1} \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right)\right)\right] \\
\leq & (J+1) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right]+(M-n) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n-1} \psi_{1}\right] \leq\left[2 J+4 J^{2} M\right] E_{n}, \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality holds due to the property stated in (16) and Statement (S3) of the induction hypotheses.

Under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$, the increment of $f_{n, F}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n, F}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, F}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}\left(\psi_{M-n}+\left(\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)^{M-n}\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \psi_{1}\right] \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}+\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right) \psi_{M-n}\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right) \mathbb{1}\left(u\left(Z_{-}^{(r)}\right)=e^{(\ell)}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}} \\
& \quad \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(4 J^{2} \psi_{M-n+1}+\psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality is obtained by neglecting the terms associated with $\left(T_{e} / \alpha^{(r)}\right)^{M-n}$, and the last inequality follows from (32) and (16).

Taking the expectation under $\mathbb{P}_{e}$, the right-hand side of the BAR (7) that corresponds
to the external arrival becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{e} & {\left[f_{n, F}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, F}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] } \\
& \geq-n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(4 J^{2} \psi_{M-n+1}+\psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{e}}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right] \\
& =-n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(4 J^{2} \psi_{M-n+1}+\psi_{M-n} \frac{1}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \frac{1}{\alpha^{(r)}}\right] \\
& \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left[\left(4 J^{2}\left(F_{n-1}+F_{0}^{\frac{M-n+1}{M}}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu_{\max }}\left(D_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{M+n+1}} F_{n-1}^{\frac{M-n}{M-1}}+F_{0}^{\frac{M-n}{M}}\right)\right)\right]+\frac{1}{\alpha^{(r)}} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right] \\
& \equiv-\Theta_{7}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{(r)}} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right], \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 4 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{e}\right]=1$, the last inequality holds by Hölder's inequality, the induction hypotheses (S2) and (S4) and $\alpha^{(r)} \geq$ $\mu_{\max }$ for any $r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)$, and we denote the final upper bound by a constant $\Theta_{7}$ independent of $r$.

Under $\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell}$, the increment of $f_{n, F}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n, F}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, F}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right) \\
& \quad=\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}\left(\psi_{M-n}+\left(\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)^{M-n}\right)\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \psi_{1} \\
& \quad \geq\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}-\delta_{\ell}\right\|^{n}-\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n}\right) \psi_{M-n}\left(\psi_{1}+\frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}} \\
& \quad \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(4 J^{2} \psi_{M-n+1}+\psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)+\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality is obtained by neglecting the terms associated with $\left(T_{s, \ell} / \mu_{\ell}\right)^{M-n}$, and the last inequality follows from (32) and (16).

Taking the expectation under $\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell}$, the right-hand side of the BAR (7) that corresponds to the service completion at station $\ell$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell} {\left[f_{n, F}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{n, F}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right] } \\
& \quad \geq-n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(4 J^{2} \psi_{M-n+1}+\psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \frac{T_{s, \ell}}{\mu_{\ell}}\right] \\
& \quad=-n 2^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left(\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n-1}+1\right)\left(4 J^{2} \psi_{M-n+1}+\psi_{M-n} \frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n} \frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}}\right] \\
& \quad \geq-n 2^{n-1}\left[\left(4 J^{2}\left(F_{n-1}+F_{0}^{\frac{M-n+1}{M}}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}}\left(D_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{M-n+1}} F_{n-1}^{\frac{M-n}{M-n+1}}+F_{0}^{\frac{M-n}{M}}\right)\right)\right]+\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right] \\
& \quad \equiv-\Theta_{8}+\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right], \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 4 and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{s, \ell}\right]=1$, the last inequality holds by Hölder's inequality, the induction hypotheses (S2) and (S4), and we denote the final upper bound by a constant $\Theta_{8}$ independent of $r$.

In summary, combining (40), (41) and (42), the BAR (7) implies that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[2 J+4 J^{2} M\right] E_{n} \geq \alpha^{(r)} \Theta_{7}+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_{\ell}^{(r)}\left(\Theta_{8}+\frac{1}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right]\right)} \\
\geq-\alpha^{(r)}\left(\Theta_{7}+\Theta_{8}\right)+\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\mu_{\ell}-r}{\mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right],
\end{array}
$$

where the last inequality follows from $\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_{\ell}^{(r)}=\alpha^{(r)}$ and (17).
Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{n} \psi_{M-n}\right] & \leq \frac{\left(2 J+4 J^{2} M\right) E_{n}+\alpha^{(r)}\left(\Theta_{7}+\Theta_{8}\right)}{\min _{\ell \in \mathcal{J}, r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)} \frac{\mu_{\ell}-r}{\mu_{\ell}}} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(2 J+4 J^{2} M\right) E_{n}+\left(\Theta_{3}+\Theta_{4}\right) \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{\ell}}{\frac{\mu_{\min }}{2 \mu_{\max }}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of (S4) for the induction step $n$.

### 5.7 Proof of Non-integer Case when $\varepsilon>0$

To prove Proposition 5 when $\varepsilon>0$, it is essential to introduce the following lemma, which aligns directly with Statements (S1)-(S4) in Section 5.1 by replacing $M$ with $\beta \equiv$ $M+\varepsilon /(M+\varepsilon)$ and substituting $n$ with $\beta-1$.

Lemma 6. Under the moment condition (8), there exist positive and finite constants $C_{\beta-1}, D_{\beta-1}, E_{\beta-1}$ and $F_{\beta-1}$ independent of $r$ such that the following statements hold for all $r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)$ :
(1) $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{\beta-1}\right] \leq C_{\beta-1}$.
(2) $\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{\beta-1}\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{\beta-1}\right] \leq D_{\beta-1}$.
(3) $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{\beta-1} \psi_{1}\left(R_{e}^{(r)}, R_{s}^{(r)}\right)\right] \leq E_{\beta-1}$.
(4) $\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{\beta-1} \psi_{1}\left(R_{-, e}^{(r)}, R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\left\|Z_{-, \perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{\beta-1} \psi_{1}\left(R_{-, e}^{(r)}, R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\right] \leq F_{\beta-1}$.

When the above statements hold, the proof of Proposition 5 parallels the approach in Section 5.3, with only two changes: substituting $n$ with $\beta$ and replacing Statements (S1)-(S4) with Statements (1)-(4).

To prove Statements (1)-(4), we need to introduce the following lemma, which directly corresponds to the base step of Statements (S3)-(S4) when $n=0$.

Lemma 7. Under the moment condition (8), there exist positive and finite constants $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ independent of $r$ such that the following statements hold for all $r \in\left(0, \mu_{\min } / 2\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\psi_{M+\varepsilon}\left(R_{e}^{(r)}, R_{s}^{(r)}\right)\right] \leq A_{1}  \tag{43}\\
& \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\psi_{M+\varepsilon}\left(R_{-, e}^{(r)}, R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\right]+\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbb{E}_{s, \ell}\left[\psi_{M+\varepsilon}\left(R_{-, e}^{(r)}, R_{-, s}^{(r)}\right)\right] \leq A_{2} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 7. The proof of Lemma 7 follows the same approach as presented in Section 5.2. Specifically, the proof of (43) can directly adopt the proof approach of Statement (S3) in Section 5.2 by replacing $M$ with $M+\varepsilon$ in the test function (14). Similarly, the proof of (44) can directly adopt the proof approach of Statement (S4) in Section 5.2 by replacing $M$ with $M+\varepsilon$ in the test function (15).

Proof of Lemma 6. Since Proposition 5 for integer cases when $\epsilon=0$ implies that Statements (S1) and (S2) hold for $n=M$, Statements (1) and (2) also hold due to $\beta-1<M$. Statement (3) can be established as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{\beta-1} \psi_{1}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{M}\right]^{1-\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\psi_{1}^{M+\varepsilon}\right]^{\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}} \leq C_{M}^{1-\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}} \cdot 2 J A_{1}^{\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first inequality holds due to Hölder's inequality, and the second inequality follows from Lemma 7 and the fact that $\psi_{1}^{M+\varepsilon} \leq 2 J\left(R_{\max }^{(r)}\right)^{M+\varepsilon} \leq 2 J \psi_{M+\varepsilon}$ with $R_{\max }^{(r)} \equiv$ $\max \left(\left\{R_{e}^{(r)}\right\} \cup\left\{R_{s, j}^{(r)}: j \in \mathcal{J}\right\}\right)$. Consequently, $E_{\beta-1}$ can be set as $2 J C_{M}^{1-\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}} A_{1}^{\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}}$. Statement (4) follows the same argument as (45) by replacing $\pi$ to any Palm measure $\nu$ in $\left\{\mathbb{P}_{e}\right\} \cup\left\{\mathbb{P}_{s, \ell} ; \ell \in \mathcal{J}\right\}:$

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\nu}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{\beta-1} \psi_{1}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\nu}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{\beta-1}\right]^{1-\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{E}_{\nu}\left[\psi_{1}^{M+\varepsilon}\right]^{\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}} \leq D_{M}^{1-\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}} \cdot 2 J A_{2}^{\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}}
$$

Consequently, $F_{k, \beta-1}$ can be set as $4 J^{2} D_{M}^{1-\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}} A_{2}^{\frac{1}{M+\varepsilon}}$.

## 6 Proof of Proposition 3

In this section, we prove the weak convergence of the steady-state average queue length in Proposition 3. For simplicity, we equivalently prove the weak convergence of the steadystate total queue length $r \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} Z_{j}^{(r)} \Rightarrow J Z^{*}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Our proof heavily follows the proof in Braverman et al. [2023].

### 6.1 Test Function for the BAR

Recall that $X^{(r)}$ denotes the random vector that follows the stationary distribution of the $r$ th JSQ system for $r \in(0,1)$. We define the exponential function $g_{\theta}$ for any $\theta \leq 0$ as

$$
g_{\theta}(z)=\exp \left(\theta \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} z_{j}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{J}
$$

Incorporating truncation on residual interarrival and service times for $t>0$, we define the test function $f_{\theta, t}^{(r)}$ for $\theta \leq 0$ and $t>0$ as
$f_{\theta, t}^{(r)}(x)=g_{\theta}(z) \exp \left(-\eta(\theta, t)\left(\alpha^{(r)} r_{e} \wedge t^{-1}\right)-\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \xi_{j}(\theta, t)\left(\mu_{j} r_{s, j} \wedge t^{-1}\right)\right), \quad x=\left(z, r_{e}, r_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{S}$,
where $\eta(\theta, t)$ and $\xi(\theta, t)$ is the solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{e}\left[e^{-\eta(\theta, t)\left(T_{e} \wedge t^{-1}\right)}\right]=1, \quad e^{-\theta} \mathbb{E}_{s, j}\left[e^{-\xi_{j}(\theta, t)\left(T_{s, j} \wedge t^{-1}\right)}\right]=1 \quad \text { for } j \in \mathcal{J} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

These $\eta(\theta, t)$ and $\xi(\theta, t)$ are uniquely determined by (46), which can be proved in Lemma C. 1 of Braverman et al. [2023]. Hence, the jump terms in the BAR (7) will be zero, i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[f_{\theta, t}^{(r)}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{\theta, t}^{(r)}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right]=0, \quad \mathbb{E}_{s, j}\left[f_{\theta, t}^{(r)}\left(X_{+}^{(r)}\right)-f_{\theta, t}^{(r)}\left(X_{-}^{(r)}\right)\right]=0 \quad \text { for } j \in \mathcal{J}
$$

Therefore, applying the test function $f_{\theta, t}^{(r)}$ to the BAR (7) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\mathcal{A} f_{\theta, t}^{(r)}\left(X^{(r)}\right)\right]=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to define two sets of moment generating functions (MGFs) for $Z^{(r)}$ and $X^{(r)}$ as follows. For $Z^{(r)}$, we define, for each $\theta \leq 0$ and $r \in(0,1)$,

$$
\phi^{(r)}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[g_{\theta}\left(Z^{(r)}\right)\right] \quad \phi_{j}^{(r)}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[g_{\theta}\left(Z^{(r)}\right) \mid Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right] \quad \text { for } j \in \mathcal{J}
$$

Note that $\phi^{(r)}(r \theta)$ is the MGF of the scaled total queue length $r \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} Z_{j}^{(r)}$.
For $X^{(r)}$, we set $t=r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}$ where $\varepsilon_{0} \in\left(0, \delta_{0} /\left(1+\delta_{0}\right)\right)$, which is consistent with SSC result in Proposition 2, and define truncated MGFs as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{(r)}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[f_{\theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}}^{(r)}\left(X^{(r)}\right)\right], \quad \varphi_{j}^{(r)}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[f_{\theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}}^{(r)}\left(X^{(r)}\right) \mid Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right] \quad \text { for } j \in \mathcal{J} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2 Outline of the Proof

In this section, we will prove the weak convergence of the average queue length in Proposition 3. We will follow the six steps of the proof in Section 7.1 of Braverman et al. [2023].
(Step 1) Using the MGFs $\varphi^{(r)}$ and $\varphi_{j}^{(r)}$ of (48), we derive an asymptotic BAR for $X^{(r)}$ as follows

Lemma 8. Assume Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. For each $\theta \leq 0$, as $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\alpha^{(r)} \eta\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right)+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j} \xi_{j}\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right)\right) \varphi^{(r)}(r \theta) \\
& \quad-\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right) \xi_{j}\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right) \varphi_{j}^{(r)}(r \theta)=o\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

We call (49) an asymptotic BAR of $X^{(r)}$. The proof depends on SSC under the Palm distributions. The proof is provided in Section 6.3.
(Step 2) To rewrite (49) in a more tractable form, we need asymptotic expansions of $\eta$ and $\xi_{j}$ for $j \in \mathcal{J}$. Specifically, for each fixed $\theta \leq 0$ and $j \in \mathcal{J}$, we have, as $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right)=r \theta+\frac{1}{2} c_{e}^{2} r^{2} \theta^{2}+o\left(r^{2}\right), \quad \xi_{j}\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right)=-r \theta+\frac{1}{2} c_{s, j}^{2} r^{2} \theta^{2}+o\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{e}^{2}$ and $c_{s, j}^{2}$ are the SCV of interarrival and service times, respectively. The proof of (50) is given in Lemma 7.5 of Braverman et al. [2023].
(Step 3) Using the results obtained in Step 2 and $\mu_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{(r)}=O(r)$ in (17), the asymptotic BAR (49), for each $\theta \leq 0$, as $r \rightarrow 0$, becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(r \alpha^{(r)} \theta+\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{(r)} c_{e}^{2} r^{2} \theta^{2}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(-r \mu_{j} \theta+\frac{1}{2} \mu_{j} c_{s, j}^{2} r^{2} \theta^{2}\right)\right) \varphi^{(r)}(r \theta) \\
& \quad-\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{(r)}\right)(-r \theta) \varphi_{j}^{(r)}(r \theta)=o\left(r^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the heavy traffic condition and dividing by $r^{2} \theta$, we have, for each $\theta \leq 0$ and as $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\left(-1+\theta\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{(r)} c_{e}^{2}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{j} c_{s, j}^{2}\right)\right) \varphi^{(r)}(r \theta)+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\mu_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{(r)}}{r} \varphi_{j}^{(r)}(r \theta)=o\left(r^{2}\right) .
$$

(Step 4) Note that $\phi^{(r)}(r \theta)$ is a Laplace transform for $\theta \leq 0$ and is bounded by 1 . Hence, by a standard "diagonal argument" in Theorem 5.19 of Kallenberg [1997], we have the following result. There exist a subsequence $r_{n} \rightarrow 0$, and Laplace transforms $\phi^{(r)}(\theta)$ and $\phi_{j}^{(r)}(\theta), j \in \mathcal{J}$, of finite measures such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\varphi^{\left(r_{n}\right)}\left(r_{n} \theta\right), \varphi_{j}^{\left(r_{n}\right)}\left(r_{n} \theta\right), j \in \mathcal{J}\right)=\left(\phi(\theta), \phi_{j}(\theta), j \in \mathcal{J}\right), \quad \theta \leq 0
$$

where $\left(\phi(\theta), \phi_{j}(\theta), j \in \mathcal{J}\right)$ is a limit point. In the following steps, we use $\left(\phi, \phi_{j}, j \in\right.$ $\mathcal{J})$ to denote a fixed limit point with corresponding subsequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$. For notational simplicity, we omit index $n$ and simply write $r_{n}$ as $r$ in both $r \rightarrow 0$ and $o\left(r^{2}\right)$. This does not cause any problems because the subsequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is fixed once it is chosen. We will show that the limit point is unique, and hence, the convergence holds for the entire sequence.
(Step 5) Using the SSC in Proposition 2 and the expansions in Step 2, we have, as $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\varphi^{(r)}(r \theta)-\phi^{(r)}(r \theta)=o(1), \quad \varphi_{j}^{(r)}(r \theta)-\phi_{j}^{(r)}(r \theta)=o(1) \quad \text { for } j \in \mathcal{J}
$$

whose proof is given in (7.28) of Braverman et al. [2023]. Hence, Step 4 implies that

$$
\varphi^{(r)}(r \theta)-\phi(\theta)=o(1), \quad \varphi_{j}^{(r)}(r \theta)-\phi_{j}(\theta)=o(1) \quad \text { for } j \in \mathcal{J} .
$$

Hence, we can replace $\varphi^{(r)}$ and $\varphi_{j}^{(r)}$ by $\phi$ and $\phi_{j}$ in the asymptotic BAR (49), which implies that

$$
\left(-1+\theta\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{(r)} c_{e}^{2}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{j} c_{s, j}^{2}\right)\right) \phi(\theta)+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\mu_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{(r)}}{r} \phi_{j}(\theta)=o(1) .
$$

(Step 6) According to SSC in Proposition 2, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 9. Assume Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. We have

$$
\phi_{j}(\theta)=1, \quad j \in \mathcal{J}
$$

Hence, $\phi(\theta)$ is uniquely determined by the following equation:

$$
\phi(\theta)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{(r)}\right)}{r} \frac{1}{1-\theta\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{(r)} c_{e}^{2}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{1}{2} \mu_{j} c_{s, j}^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{1-\theta J m}
$$

which is the MGF of an exponential random variable with mean $J m$, and $m$ is defined in (4).

### 6.3 Proof of Technical Lemmas

Proof of Lemma 8. Setting $\theta$ to $r \theta$, the BAR (47) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\alpha^{(r)} \eta\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right)+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j} \xi_{j}\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right)\right) \varphi^{(r)}(r \theta)-\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j} \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right) \xi_{j}\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right) \varphi_{j}^{(r)}(r \theta) \\
& \quad+\alpha^{(r)} \eta\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[f_{r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}}\left(X^{(r)}\right) \mathbb{1}\left(\alpha^{(r)} R_{e}^{(r)}>r^{\varepsilon_{0}-1}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j} \xi_{j}\left(r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[f_{r \theta, r^{1-\varepsilon_{0}}}\left(X^{(r)}\right) \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right) \mathbb{1}\left(\mu_{j} R_{s, j}^{(r)}>r^{\varepsilon_{0}-1}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the last two terms have the order of $o\left(r^{2}\right)$ by Lemma 8.2 of Braverman et al. [2023], the proof is completed.
Proof of Lemma 9. From the SSC in Proposition 2 and $\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)=\left(\mu_{j}-\lambda_{j}^{(r)}\right) / \mu_{j}=$ $O(r)$ in (17), for each $j \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|1-\phi_{j}^{(r)}(r \theta)\right| & =\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[1-e^{r \theta \sum_{k \in \mathcal{J}} Z_{k}^{(r)}} \mid Z_{j}^{(r)}>0\right] \leq r|\theta| \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\sum_{k \in \mathcal{J}} Z_{k}^{(r)} \mid Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right] \\
& =J r|\theta| \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\bar{Z}^{(r)}-Z_{j}^{(r)} \mid Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right] \leq J r|\theta| \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\| \mid Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right] \\
& =\frac{J r|\theta| \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\| \mathbb{1}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)\right]}{\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{j}^{(r)}=0\right)} \leq \operatorname{Jr}|\theta| \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[\left\|Z_{\perp}^{(r)}\right\|^{1+\varepsilon_{0}}\right]^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{0}}}\left(1-\rho_{j}^{(r)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{0}}} \\
& =o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
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